



Artificial Stupidity and the End of Men

Lee Mackinnon

To cite this article: Lee Mackinnon (2017) Artificial Stupidity and the End of Men, Third Text, 31:5-6, 603-617, DOI: [10.1080/09528822.2018.1437939](https://doi.org/10.1080/09528822.2018.1437939)

To link to this article: <https://doi.org/10.1080/09528822.2018.1437939>



Published online: 13 Mar 2018.



Submit your article to this journal [↗](#)



Article views: 1321



View related articles [↗](#)



View Crossmark data [↗](#)

DOMHNALL GLEESON ALICIA VIKANDER and OSCAR ISAAC

ex machina

WHAT HAPPENS TO ME IF I FAIL YOUR TEST?

UNIVERSAL PICTURES INTERNATIONAL and FILM 4 present a DNA FILMS production "EX MACHINA" DOMHNALL GLEESON ALICIA VIKANDER and OSCAR ISAAC CASTING FRANCHIE MAISLER MUSIC BY BEN SALISBURY and GEOFF BARROW
SOUND DESIGNER GLENN FREEMANTLE COSTUME DESIGNER SAMMY SHELDON DIFFER PRODUCTION DESIGNER MARK DIGBY EDITOR MARK DAY DIRECTOR OF PHOTOGRAPHY DOB HARDY, B.S.C. ASSOCIATE PRODUCER JOANNE SMITH EXECUTIVE PRODUCERS LINDA CAROLINE LEVY SCOTT RUDIN, ELI BUSH and TESSA ROSS
PRODUCED BY ANDREW MACDONALD and ALLON REICH WRITTEN AND DIRECTED BY ALEX GARLAND A24



coming soon

PRODUCED BY ANDREW MACDONALD and ALLON REICH

WRITTEN AND DIRECTED BY ALEX GARLAND





Artificial Stupidity and the End of Men

Lee Mackinnon

I want to preface this article by revisiting George Cukor's *My Fair Lady* (1964) as one of a number of archetypal films depicting the man-made woman. In Cukor's film, the vulgar attributes of working-class grammar expressed by a young woman are corrected by a wealthy, well-educated gentleman linguist (Professor Higgins) for a wager. The story is a well-known retelling of the Pygmalion myth, based upon George Bernard Shaw's eponymous play. A cockney flower seller is plucked out of poverty and groomed for a life amongst the rich and aristocratic. The drama is centred on whether the diction and deportment of Eliza Doolittle can convince the wealthy and privileged that she is indeed a lady. So convincing is her transformation that one expert in phonetics (a gentleman, naturally) claims she is undoubtedly a Hungarian of noble birth. The gentlemen in this scenario can not only discern the worthiness and proximity to privilege of a young woman, but educate her to the standards by which such judgements can be made. Their ability to discern Eliza's capacity for refinement as an authentic condition once masked by poverty and a lack of education serves to naturalise intelligence as a direct analogue of wealth. It also highlights the condition of what Shulamith Firestone refers to as the pervasive 'sex class system',¹ in which women are always already lower-class citizens. Women are conceived as blank palimpsests, awaiting the inscription of patriarchal values that make palatable the pathological condition of their own value systems. In *My Fair Lady*, as in the film at the heart of this article, education and a claim upon intelligence are imparted and tested by privileged white men who imagine that they are divulging the advantages of intelligence when, in fact, they are perpetuating forms of stupidity that are the corollary of the men's wilful opacity to themselves.

Alex Garland's film *Ex Machina* (2015) somewhat reframes the conceit of the man-made woman as an exploration of artificial intelligence. In a way, both films are claims upon artificial intelligence inasmuch

Poster for *Ex Machina*, 2015,
 director Alex Garland,
 Universal Pictures

1 Shulamith Firestone, *The Dialectic of Sex: The Case for Feminist Revolution*, Verso Books, New York and London, 2015

as they are concerned with the programming of women by representatives of orthodox Western institutions. In Garland's film, a billionaire tech wizard, Nathan Bateman (Oscar Isaac), single-handedly builds a number of attractive, life-like female robots from the feet upwards. Bateman finds a way to invest his living dolls with intelligence that, like their youthful womanliness, comes fully developed, short-circuiting the need for Professor Higgins's analogue recording equipment and verbatim indoctrination. Dispensing with intelligence as a 'bottom-up' process of assimilation and context, Ava, the most advanced of Bateman's robots, played by Alicia Vikander, has a mind constituted of harvested data from social media and is remarkably adept at filtering out anything but the most seemingly conduct and dialogue. When asked her age, Ava can claim that, 'I am one', becoming a legitimised taboo object: a virgin infant encased in a sculpted, sexually legitimate body. In signifying the end of the matrilineal as the triumph of the digital corporation, paternalist authorship thinly veils the latest manifestation of sexist oppression as one of evolutionary advantage. In its flimsy ignorance of the issues it serves up under the auspices of 'intelligence', the film is a durable analogue of the ways in which the fetishistic qualities of technical objects, like the fetishistic qualities of the women these technologies replace, entirely mask the underlying moral and social violence they enact. Apart from repositioning the same tedious questions about what makes a human distinct from a machine, or whether it will one day be possible to create robots indiscernible from humans, *Ex Machina* tells us much about the 'non-conscious'² dimensions of the digital economy and the sustained colonial violence that supports it. This article will look in more detail at these sub-texts as they manifest in *Ex Machina* in an attempt to highlight the perversity of perpetuating and naturalising these narratives as uncritical mainstream entertainment marketed as 'intelligent'.³

By virtue of this analysis, I want to consider what Hito Steyerl refers to as 'Artificial Stupidity' (AS), articulated as 'the Real' of Artificial Intelligence.⁴ In Lacan's original formulation, the *Real* is a moment when the symbolic structures that order human reality, such as language, break down, reminding us of the matter and animality that we otherwise try to suppress. AI might be considered the logical endgame of human symbolic structures once these symbols have, as Lacan notes in his 1955 paper on cybernetics, freed themselves from the systems that gave rise to them.⁵ AS can be discerned as the underlying violence that has presided over and organised symbolic structures in patriarchal systems. The apparent success of these symbolic structures is often manifest in slick techno apparatus (cinema, AI, mobile devices, etc), the material memory of which explicates the violence entailed in their production. Fantasies in which the symbolic structures themselves begin to assume artificial intelligence will be seen to mirror the idiotic tendencies at play in ideas of human intelligence more generally.

Steyerl claims that AS is a new condition brought about by social media and the wider automation of social relations.⁶ I want to suggest that, while AS may be more apparent in the period of digital media, it is a long-standing feature of patriarchal structures, very much evinced by their negation of the oppression that characterises them. Indeed, in light of the recent Harvey Weinstein case, much media attention is denouncing the 'wilful ignorance' of the movie industry and is even used in men's own

2 See N Katherine Hayles on the non-conscious as a viable alternative to pre-digital Freudian conscious/unconscious binary in Holger Pötzsch, 'Posthumanism, Technogenesis, and Digital Technologies: A Conversation with N Katherine Hayles', *Fibreculture Journal*, vol 172, no 23, 2014.

3 Joanna Robinson, 'Finally, an Artificial Intelligence Movie with Some Brains', *Vanity Fair*, 15 March 2015

4 Hito Steyerl, in discussion with Nina Power, *Supercommunity and Duty-Free Art*, Somerset House, 2017

5 Jacques Lacan, 'Psychoanalysis and Cybernetics, or on the Nature of Language', in Jacques-Alain Miller, ed, *The Seminar of Jacques Lacan. Book II: The Ego in Freud's Theory and in the Technique of Psychoanalysis, 1954–1955*, Sylvana Tomaselli, trans, WW Norton and Co, London and New York, 1988, p 300

6 Steyerl, *Supercommunity and Duty-Free Art*, op cit

defence.⁷ In this respect, we can consider the movie industry to be indicative of Western institutions that have long structured gender and representation through wilful and artificial stupidity.

The Turing Test

It is notable that AI is subject to testing in a way that AS is not. In the spirit of *My Fair Lady*, a second man, Caleb Smith, (Domhnall Gleeson) is invited to judge Bateman's success in programming his robotic protégé, Ava. Not only can she reproduce perfectly grammatical sentences, she can express sentiment beyond her scripted remit. The intensity of her emotional life, desire and even the impotent hatred for her maker, demonstrate her 'authenticity' as a wilful and 'difficult' woman. Both men become victims of their own heterosexual stereotyping that Bateman refers to as 'programming', indicating the paradox of behaviour that is acknowledged but must remain intractable. The question of whether Ava has consciousness and can pass as an intelligent human subject is referred to in the film as the 'Turing Test': a misappropriation of Alan Turing's 'imitation game', in which an interrogator of unspecified gender is invited to discern the difference between a man (A) and a woman (B) through an anonymous question and answer session.⁸ In Turing's version of the test, the situation is further complicated by replacing the man (A) with a machine. For Garland, as for the labour market more generally, it will be the woman who is replaced by a machine. Turing's article was published in *Mind* in 1950, entitled 'Computing Machinery and Intelligence', and Turing stated that his aim was not to give a definition of thinking.⁹ What is highlighted by this thought experiment is the human desire to cleave to systems of imitation rather than to question them. At the same time (and even if inadvertently) Turing questions accepted understandings of gendered identity and the difficulty of imitating a reliably gendered human subject when pitched against an imitative machine. Turing's game has its roots in a nineteenth-century parlour game in which a male and female subject are concealed from a judge, who must decide which of them is a woman.¹⁰ Turing, who knew much about the need for pretence in such matters, declares that it is in the woman's interest to tell the truth:

The object of the game for the third player (B), is to help the interrogator. The best strategy for her is possibly to give truthful answers. She can add such things as 'I am the woman, don't listen to him!' to her answers, but it will avail nothing as the man can make similar remarks. We now ask the question, 'what will happen when a machine takes the part of A in this game?'¹¹

Thus, while the woman is tasked with honesty, man and machine must contest the authenticity of the female player and her claims upon truth. A gendered interpretation of the imitation game is refuted by Copeland.¹² It is claimed that Turing rather poses the question of what will happen when a machine takes the part of participant A, who is a man, and that the test is presented in a 'starkly ungendered form'.¹³ Copeland infers that to be a male is not only to be invisible to oneself, but to occupy the privileged position of appearing ungendered, while 'gender' is synonymous with terms such as 'woman'. Hardly fitting testament to a man whose

7 See Edward Helmore, 'Oscars Academy Votes to Expel Harvey Weinstein as his own Brother Joins the Attack on the 'Sick and Depraved' Predator', *The Guardian*, 15 October 2017.

8 Alan Turing in B Jack Copeland, *The Essential Turing: The Ideas that Gave Birth to the Computer Age*, Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, Oxford, New York, 2004, p 558

9 Ibid, 549

10 Sadie Plant, *Zeros and Ones: Digital Women and the New Technoculture*, Fourth Estate, London, 1998, p 90

11 Turing in Copeland, op cit, p 558

12 Ibid, p 448

13 Ibid, p 550



Alex Garland, director, *Ex Machina*, 2015, film still, Universal Pictures

state-sanctioned chemical castration was a result of his own (then) illegal homosexuality.

As in Turing's game, it is Ava's imitation of womanliness that becomes conflated with the test of AI, in accordance with patriarchal systems of determination. While Turing infers the contingency of gendered imitation, Bateman insists upon programming as constituent of gender, sexual behaviour and human-like intelligence. If forms of life are programmed and determined according to patriarchal law, then the hierarchical structures inherent in them are fixed, and abhorrent behaviours naturalised. This is the territory of AS, where the notion of 'programme' undermines and over-rules the notion of 'evolution' as one associated with contingency and indeterminacy. That both men in *Ex Machina* are subject to their own heterosexual stereotyping is also their undoing.

Houselife

The film takes place largely in a secluded research facility, where Bateman resides alone apart from his AI creations, isolated in a wilderness evocative of romantic landscape. Bateman and Smith are often in dialogue before vistas evocative of nature: a waterfall, icebergs, mountains, a forested valley. The architecture of the research centre is also in dialogue with its surroundings. Some of its walls are created by the natural articulations of rock, or of glass panels that reflect the landscape, collapsing the usual distinctions between inside and outside. The interior can be seen, but it also 'sees', evoking Beatriz Colomina's point that after Le Corbusier,

the house is a device to see the world, a mechanism of viewing... separation from the outside, is provided by the window's ability to turn the threatening world outside the house into a reassuring picture.¹⁴

Inside, despite its interventions of landscape, the construct evokes a lab with its minimalist geometry and cleanliness. Every room is monitored by CCTV. Each door opened by a personalised electronic card. A soft female voice asks for identification at the main entrance, reminding us of the historically invisible labour that marries domestic space to the bodies of an equally invisible feminised workforce. Ava is a perfect analogue of the house, devoid of messy bodily materiality or dirt. House and woman here are appendages of the European modernist project that sought to rationalise space into transparent, utopian modules after the carnage of the two world wars. Like the transparent walls of the house, the circuitry of Ava's internal mechanics is visible through her transparent midriff, recalling female anatomical mannequins of the nineteenth century: the curiosity of fertile anatomy reduced to infertile digital hardware. Not only does dense matter become weightless when transparency intervenes between opaque volumes, but there are moments when object and environment become indistinguishable. This illusion can only be maintained by keeping the reflective surfaces unspotted; no condensation or detritus can spot the mirror upon which nature's idealised explication overwrites man-made artifice. What is really reflected here is a non-visible workforce, typified by (but not exclusive to) women, whose cheap labour

¹⁴ Beatriz Colomina, *Privacy and Publicity: Modern Architecture as Mass Media*, The MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 1996



Albert Bierstadt, *Rocky Mountain Landscape*, 1870, oil on canvas, 93 cm x 139.1 cm, gift of the Barra Foundation Inc

maintains the smooth veneer of techno-industrial capital. Indeed, this is beautifully exemplified by Ila Bêka and Louise Lemoine's film *Koolhaas Houselife* (2008) – a materialist excursion into the maintenance labour required to preserve a modernist edifice that seems to hover on glass panels. Here, it is the cleaner's labour and perspective that are explicated. Women's status as workers, with a presence equivalent to that of their male co-workers, has long been perceived as a threat to men's job security, a threat now characteristic of AI. That Bateman makes a technical appendage whose status as *apparatus* essentially disappears and becomes woman, seems like an obvious, if flawed, solution to the potential of techno-emasculation. And if we are to follow the thinking of Helen Hester,¹⁵ it flips the logic of automated techno-systems so that, where once technology replaced the invisible labour of women, the figure of woman reappears here *as* the technical system, reducing the inconvenient complexity of natural systems to a man-made simulacrum. All messy humanness and resistance are finally reasoned away, as though a woman's perceived 'difficulty' was an essential quality rather than a reasonable response to an already unbearable set of expectations.

Imperial Aesthetics

Suspended in an unpeopled idyll tinged with sublime threat, it is the legacy of imperial aesthetics that sets the scene for *Ex Machina*, and, in what follows, I discuss the function of this aesthetic site as one of idealised masculinity and US imperialism in order to track the condition of AS in the content as well as the structure of contemporary cinema.

It is well documented that the Romantic movements of Europe and America were influenced by the medieval gothic imagination, a latter-day reaction to eighteenth-century Enlightenment principles of reason and rationality. In aligning itself with pre-industrial, pre-Enlightenment and pre-modern notions, Romanticism became synonymous not only with the pure expression of the individual, but with consolidation of newly emergent nation states exemplified by Germany and America. Still, today, the nation state itself can be considered a romantic aspiration, based upon 'imagined communities'.¹⁶ The subjective dimensions of Romanticism would serve to underpin collective expressions of modern national identity. The American painter and photographer, Albert Bierstadt (1830–1902), has become synonymous with Western Expansion and its claims upon a civilising remit. Bierstadt's painting *Rocky Mountain Landscape* (1870) is to be found in the White House today, giving us a clue to the importance of the romantic imaginary in the modern American psyche. Bierstadt's paintings were often assemblages of different sketches, brought together for maximum effect in the studio. The Rocky Mountains bear the trace of the European Alps from which he had returned shortly before commencing the work. In the same manner, *Ex Machina* purports to be a remote Alaskan landscape, yet is shot in Norway. This generality stresses the importance of borders and nations not as geographical realities but as part of imaginary ideals that are fluid and associative. Whilst claiming to reject the encroaching progress of industrialisation, we might argue that Romanticism made modern conquest seem a part of nature itself. Bierstadt's paintings highlight Romanti-

15 Helen Hester, 'Technically Female: Women, Machines, and Hyperemployment', *Salvage*, August 2016, <http://salvage.zone/in-print/technically-female-women-machines-and-hyperemployment>

16 Sylvia Walby, 'The Myth of the Nation State: Theorizing Society and Politics in a Global Era', *Sociology*, vol 37, no 3, 2003, pp 529–546

cism's instrumentality in establishing Europe and the US as centres of global power by naturalising human dominion over nature and, by extension, over resources, landmass and peoples.

Romanticism often functions to figure nature as a moral agency pitched against human hubris. Such notions are at play in recent debates about environmental degradation and natural disasters. The current fashion for the Anthropocene in theoretical circles may signal a more recent permutation of Romanticism, in that it describes new anxieties about the human–nature relation in consideration of the Earth's resources. The Anthropocene posits the human as a geological force that will soon be no more than fossilised strata in an otherwise indifferent universe.¹⁷ This point is made by Bateman as he and Smith look out over lush forest from the vantage of the intelligent house: 'the AI's will one-day look back on humans as we now look at fossil skeletons on the plains of Africa'. He claims that we are 'all set for extinction', points that seem to give form to the logic of his own cruelty. Bateman can be seen as an analogue of today's corporations threatened by environmental change; the means to continue production, no matter how brutal and immoral, are justified by the ends they seem to serve.

At one point, Bateman repeatedly utters the lines from the *Bhagavad Gita*, famously cited by J Robert Oppenheimer on witnessing the first nuclear explosion that he was instrumental in engineering:

In battle, in forest, at the precipice in the mountains,
On the dark great sea, in the midst of javelins and arrows,
In sleep, in confusion, in the depths of shame,
The good deeds a man has done before defend him.

This position is one typical of patriarchal indolence that shucks responsibility for local forms of violence by referring to the totality of its great technical achievements. In what comes as close as possible to an insight into his own cruelty, Bateman is reassured by the aesthetic inevitability of romantic ruin, releasing him from questions of morality or responsibility.

Commenting on the Euro-American romantic predilection for ruins, Brian Dillon claims that between the fifteenth century and the beginning of the nineteenth, art and literature were gripped by love of the ruin as the 'objects of doleful meditation and thrilling self-projection, architectural *memento mori* directed at the individual conscience or at whole civilisations'.¹⁸ Now that the projected demise constitutes the entirety of human life, the architects of ecological adversity are free to ponder their legacy in its imagined aftermath. As in the *Dark Mountain Manifesto* we might experience our own creative *uncivilisation* as we ascend from the wreckage that unravels in our wake.¹⁹ The *Dark Mountain Manifesto* posits forms of 'elemental writing' and 'art' untethered from conceptual intellection as the white middle-class means to an ecological action that is simply romantic reiteration. Bateman reflects upon their own 'extinction', evoking the classicism of their projected remains, such as the artificial Gothic ruins that characterise nineteenth-century romantic landscapes. Positioning the digitally construed AIs as the new *culture*, to the human's analogue, *geo-logical nature*, he reiterates the nature/culture distinction anew. A number of authors refer to the Anthropocene

17 Jane Bennett, 'Afterword: Earthling Now and Forever?', in Elizabeth Ellsworth and Jamie Kruse, eds, *Making the Geologic Now: Responses to Material Conditions of Contemporary Life*, http://www.geologicnow.com/after_bennett.php

18 Brian Dillon in Joan Young, *Julie Mehretu: Grey Area*, Deutsche Guggenheim, 2009, p 45

19 Paul Kingsnorth and Dougald Hine, *Uncivilisation: The Dark Mountain Manifesto*, The Dark Mountain Project, 2009 <http://dark-mountain.net/about/manifesto/>

as a recent permutation of colonialism that under-represents the neo-colonial element in considering the distribution of human impact and capacity for intervention.^{20, 21} Its aesthetic has been referred to by Nic Mirzoeff as an ‘unintended supplement to imperial aesthetics’.²²

The research facility’s remoteness is matched by the detachment of its lone human occupant, Bateman. The film valorises this single figure as engineer of an entire complex system of AI, reflecting the condition of digital corporations today and reminding us of the ways in which the heroic valorisation of a few white men masks the labour, exploitation, resources and bodies of remote multitudes. Like our own digital devices (phones, laptops, tablets), the pristine robots of Bateman’s research facility are stripped of any reference to the globally distributed workforce necessary to their assembly. It is well documented that the digital relations of production are shaped by complex global networks of exploitation that include Congolese slave miners extracting minerals for ICT components, exploited workers in off-shore assembly lines, low-paid engineers in India and e-waste workers in remote developing economies.²³ The film perfectly expresses the disconnect between the messy materiality of production, and the fetishised techno-objects of global capitalism that parade as markers of intelligence. In this film, attribution of implausibly complex manufacturing and processing techniques are attributed to one man working in isolation: the genius whose true greatness lies in his ability to claim the credit of an entire workforce like so many before him.

The industrial project perpetuated a sense of entitlement that came to define the epic endeavours of Western capitalist nations throughout the modern period. After all, what use are precious resources to those who do not have the means to turn them into serviceable commodities? It was arguably a propensity for romantic idealism that helped to shield industrial nations from thoughts of their own exploitative endeavours, which quickly came to seem necessary. Even as it pursued the overly rationalised signatures of a machine aesthetic reflected in the European avant-garde art, modernism was always imbued with the romantic spirit. Albert Gelpi claims that while Modernists might declaim the Romantic spirit, they also ‘adopted positions that are unmistakably, though sometimes covertly, Romantic’.²⁴ In the mid-twentieth century, for example, American schools of painterly abstraction were characterised by the spirit of ‘pure’ medium or ‘pure’ feeling, as we see in the taste for Abstract Expressionism, also known as ‘automatic art’. In this school, canvases are often pure colour-field, or seemingly random paint application, guided by the notion of truth to medium. At the forefront of these explorations, painters such as Mark Rothko, Jackson Pollock and Robert Motherwell embodied the Romantic figure of the artist, grappling with inner demons that must remain at large in order that their art retain a sense of authenticity – in accordance with the romantic legacy. Such artists were possessed by the burden of expressionism, of a kind that might derive from the primeval forces Freud had banished to the unconscious. Artistic internal struggle became the analogue for rude, untrammelled nature, with the white man as its privileged shaman, divining the waters of capital with a paintbrush as they were channelled ever westward. Abstraction became entirely conterminous with the psychic interiority of the artist.

20 Angela Last, ‘We are the World? Anthropocene Cultural Production between Geopoetics and Geopolitics’, *Geo-Social Formations Special Issue: Theory, Culture & Society*, 2015, <http://eprints.gla.ac.uk/110603/1/110603.pdf>

21 Yasmin Gunaratnam and Nigel Clark, ‘Pre-Race Post-Race: Climate Change and Planetary Humanism’, *Darkmatter in the Ruins of Imperial Culture*, July 2012 www.darkmatter101.org/site/2012/07/02/pre-race-post-race-climate-change-and-planetary-humanism/

22 Last, ‘We are the World?’, op cit

23 Christian Fuchs, ‘Theorising and Analysing Digital Labour: From Global Value Chains to Modes of Production’, *The Political Economy of Communication*, vol 1, no 2, International Association for Media and Communication Research, 2013

24 Albert Gelpi, *A Coherent Splendour: The American Poetic Renaissance 1910–1950* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1987, p 5

One of *Ex Machina*'s central motifs is a painting by Jackson Pollock, epitome of the mythic, hedonistic and essentially self-destructive American 'genius' associated with Abstract Expressionism. Bateman again evokes the spirit of the Western Romantic tradition when he claims that Pollock 'let his mind go blank and his hand go where it wanted', like all good frontiersmen, or sex pests. The particular painting, *Number 5* (1948), is currently one of the most expensive contemporary paintings ever sold, reaching \$140 million at auction in 2006. Pollock's ejaculations may serve to demonstrate the undecidable space between randomness and deliberation. Indeed, we see Bateman musing upon life itself whilst contemplating Pollock's work. The point, he claims, is to find an act that is *not* automatic, whether in 'painting, breathing, fucking or falling in love'. Abstract Expressionism was driven by insistence on the purity of the painterly medium. The artist must imbibe emotion from the unconscious, maintaining a sub-emotional register whilst attaining an authenticity analogous with a truth to materials. While the painting may well be chosen for its value as a commodity or as a trope of high modernism, perhaps another reading is possible in the context of a film where data plays such a key role. Its sinuous surface can be seen as a metaphor for data – a mass of information that may seem to be automatically generated, yet whose studied curation might give rise to determinable pattern and novelty. To understand the generative potential of information (in particular, biological information) gives rise to fantasies of absolute control. Such an act would find pattern in randomness, the *raison d'être* of big data.

Pollock's search for the meaning that, by definition, could have no meaning beyond subjective expression, drove him ever deeper into expressive torment and the alcoholism that eventually killed him. A number of the American Abstract Expressionists were eventually driven to early death, such was the unsustainability of the oblivion from which they drew the authentic signatures of creativity (Rothko, de Kooning, Motherwell). These artists embody the myth of artistic genius whose self-immolation works as the very index of authenticity. Thus, the film's ending, where Bateman is killed by his own creation, reproduces the mythic hero drawn from the Romantic Gothic imagination, such as we see in Mary Shelley's *Frankenstein*.

Bateman looks longingly to the Romantic character of Abstract Expressionism, at once destructive and creative, that might facilitate a position between randomness and programme – a moment of self-reflection that might alter his own predilection for predetermination. Were such a position available to him, there might be a chance that he would survive beyond the end of the movie.

Datamass

Earlier, I noted the figural confluences of outside and inside as they characterise both the research facility and Ava's body. We can pursue these metaphors into the articulation of nature and/as data. The digital capture and rendering of the world's landmass as datasets is also its 'datafication', which transforms 'the ordering of space, territory and sovereignty'.²⁵ As data sensed by remote arms of the techno-military apparatus, landmass is appropriated as 'datamass'. Yet, the translation

25 Louise Amoore and Volha Piotukh, 'Introduction' in Louise Amoore and Volha Piotukh, eds, *Algorithmic Life: Calculative Devices in the Age of Big Data*, Routledge, London and New York, 2015, p 7

of territory from instantaneously sensed datasets is at odds with gradual processes of environmental degradation as manifest in geological time.²⁶ Recent theorists of ecology and the Anthropocene point out that the extended spatial and temporal scales of geology exceed human comprehension,²⁷ a point cogent with digital processing, whereby the ultra-rapid capacities of computation now far exceed the calculable capacities of the human. The datafied geo-bodies spawned by techno-capitalism evoke new iterations of the sublime that, in defying representation, return to a romantic preoccupation with the un-representable: even, as it can be claimed, it cannot be seen.

Orchestrating the apparent randomness of natural forces, data is the new organising principle of the universe. Whether at the scale of supernova or the sub-atomic particle, matter need not manifest as visible material, but as datasets that bear witness to what is beyond the human scope to see. It is in this manner that our digital devices seem to have access to more than just geolocation and the newly lucrative dimensions of space and time. They are conduits through which otherwise unknowable matter is enlivened and through which life itself now courses. Ava and her contemporaries crudely demonstrate their relationship to data through the analogy of electronic circuitry that runs under their silicone skin. Kyoko (Sonoya Mizuno), Bateman's house servant, peels back the flesh of her face to reveal electronic circuitry, exposing to Smith the contradiction of her (and his own) apparent human verisimilitude. Anxious about his own representational status, Smith cuts his own wrist to draw blood – an act that can do little to dispense with the anxiety that life itself is now data.

Ava and Kyoko are the recent manifestation of a long-standing tradition that situates women as a metaphor for nature to be tamed and exploited by paternal tutelage. The possession of women's bodies has long precipitated the seizure and colonisation of land, being an extension of territory whose appropriation constitutes masculinity. Silvia Federici makes this point through her extensive analysis of the ways in which women were systematically disenfranchised by the witch hunts that took place in sixteenth- and seventeenth-century Europe and the New World.²⁸ The associations of sexual impropriety and primitivism accorded to witches, as it was to Indigenous Americans, made their colonisation and control seem necessary. Federici claims that 'in the European fantasy, America itself was a reclining naked woman seductively inviting the approaching white stranger'.²⁹ Masculinity has its basis in such appropriation – aligned with predatory animal nature – while woman is the fertile plot, whose contours passively await male inscription. The fertility of nature and woman are conflated as the ground upon which the masculine imaginary can seed itself. Female beauty, like the sublime natural landscape, is the object of terror par excellence that must be possessed and controlled, even as it is fetishised and overvalued by the very culture that it threatens to undermine. Ava and Kyoko represent data-rich fetish objects that appropriate materiality, land and the body more generally. Grinberg aligns data with the body of the woman: 'In our cultural imaginary, data is like a woman... The language of liquidity. Flows, leaks, streams, oceans, rivers...'³⁰ Data is depicted as a 'proto-natural substance that fills objects and bodies'.³¹ Datafication signals a new order of colonisation whereby all things can be legitimately captured

26 TJ Demos, *Against the Anthropocene: Visual Culture and Environment Today*, Sternberg Press, Berlin, 2017, p 13

27 Ibid, pp 12–13

28 Silvia Federici, *Caliban and the Witch: Women, Embodiment and Primitive Accumulation*, Autonomedia, Brooklyn, New York, 2014

29 Ibid, 230

30 Yulia Grinberg 'The Emperor's New Clothes: Implications of Nudity as a Racialized and Gendered Metaphor in Discourse on Personal Data', in Jessie Daniels, Karen Gregory and Tressie McMillan Cottom, eds, *Digital Sociologies*, Polity Press, Bristol and Chicago 2017, p 112

31 Ibid, p 112

because they exist upon a plain of material equivalence that disavows the particularity of their qualities. Thus, Smith's need to find flows beneath his skin that remind him of a pre-digital materiality, one that essentially privileged man over other forms of material being.

The artificial woman is a recurring motif in cinema, which Doane refers to as the 'fantasmatic ground of cinema itself' – an idea that helps to trace the apparent contradiction of woman as at once nature and machine.³² Woman has evolved culturally from being the literary text, or tissue,³³ upon which the male author inscribes his signature,³⁴ to the cinematic celluloid upon which this body is, again, written, and written upon,³⁵ increasingly bearing the promise of both biological and mechanical reproduction.³⁶ As data object, Ava marks the end of biological reproduction and the acceleration of memory beyond a culturally specific narrative. Ava's memory is a database, distinguishable from a structure that infers meaning through narrative and metaphor.³⁷ She reproduces the Cartesian fantasy that bodies and minds can be distinct facts, and that the brain can be inferred as a neuronal version of an informatic structure. In this fantasy, a body can simply be activated by data and subsequently achieve consciousness. Writers such as N Katherine Hayles have long contested such a position as features of academic fantasy, propelled by a lack of acknowledgement regarding the situatedness of the narratives – scientific or otherwise – in which such fantasies take shape.³⁸ Indeed, for Hayles, narrative is a form of learning and thinking that distinguishes the human from the database.³⁹ In *Ex Machina*, apparatus evoke the height of technical 'artifice' whilst incorporating features associated not only with narrative, but with organic, biological processes. These indicate a condition whereby data has supplanted nature as essence, giving human and non-human entities an equal claim upon agency and increasingly upon intelligence. Data has become the 'supra-nature' of the contemporary condition: in regard to nature it is simultaneously 'above, beyond and before in time'.

32 Mary Ann Doane, 'Technophilia: Technology, Representation, and the Feminine', in Jenny Wolmark, ed., *Cybersexualities: A Reader on Feminist Theory, Cyborgs, and Cyberspace*, Edinburgh University Press, Edinburgh, 1999, p 23

33 Friedrich Kittler notes that the literal meaning of 'text' is 'tissue'. See Friedrich Kittler, *Gramophone, Film, Typewriter*, Geoffrey Winthrop-Young and Michael Wutz, trans., Stanford University Press, Stanford, California, 1999, p 186.

34 *Ibid*, p 186

35 Doane, 'Technophilia', *op cit*, p 29

36 *Ibid*, p 29

37 N Katherine Hayles describes the difference between the database and the narrative. Narrative, she claims, is 'a uniquely human capacity' against the informational chaos and inclusivity of the database. See N Katherine Hayles, *How We Think: Digital Media and Contemporary Technogenesis*, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, Illinois, 2012, p 219

38 See N Katherine Hayles, *How We Became Posthuman: Virtual Bodies in Cybernetics, Literature, and Informatics*, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, Illinois, 1999.

39 Hayles, *How We Think*, *op cit*. See p 16 and p 218.

Beauty as Injustice: Why Won't You Let Me Out?

Despite her status as data object, Ava still cleaves to the classic figure of the cinematic femme fatale and, as such, is in possession of both a child-like innocence and a deadly sophistication. The femme fatale's sexual tension is achieved by being at once the reassuring arbiter of masculinity's imagined superiority, whilst also, and with no warning, overwriting this superiority with unanticipated wit. This act, whereby a woman exposes the inequity of gender relations and threatens to out-perform its masculine law, is always understood as a violent one. In this respect, male superiority is defensively protected as a non-violent right over those it subjugates.

The only other persistent female character in the film is the robot, Kyoko, who enacts the fantasy of the compliant Asian housewife. Kyoko is mute and subservient. We see her serving food and being sexually available for the two men. Indeed, she is otherwise programmed not to understand them. Bateman's aggression is once again neutralised by the idea that those it is directed toward are too stupid to understand it. Such attitudes have long sanctioned forms of violent oppression, whether of humans or animals; any sentient creature, that is, that may function to momentarily make systems of AS visible to themselves.

We see several other female robots, evidence of Bateman's early Promethean forays, depicted as 'troublesome', uncooperative women – unsatisfactory prototypes for the ideal heterosexual other. These experiments include the body of a black woman (played by Symara A Templeman) with a silver alloy head that lacks its human casing. The cyborg sits naked under Bateman's supervision, her skull glinting in memory of the mines where such materials were excavated. Bateman appears to be teaching her to write – a strange instruction for a digital processor. Perhaps he is demonstrating handwriting as an ancient form of individuation in Western civilisations. He moves a pencil across a sheet of white paper to demonstrate, yet she remains inert, pencil in hand. This scene clearly illustrates the narrative of the unschooled 'primitive' body under direction of the 'civilised' colonial power. In the following shot, Bateman drags her sprawling, lifeless body across the floor, stubbornly raising her hand to a switch as though to insist on her potential to follow his instruction. Her resistance leads to a symbolic lynching: when we later see her inanimate corpse in the closet, her black body is headless. The violence of these scenes tells us much about the limited representation of blackness in white popular culture. The listless, uncooperative body of the faceless 'Jasmine' (Google informs me this is her name) can only be a hindrance to the relative operational success of her fully formed Caucasian predecessor, whom we see briefly striding, naked and purposeful, across the same room. Video footage reveals a subsequent nude Japanese robot being interviewed by Bateman from behind glass: 'Why won't you let me out?', she asks him repeatedly, eventually dashing her own robotic fists against the wall until metallic wire stumps are all that remain.

We watch these episodes through the eyes of Smith as he plunders Bateman's archived footage. He is evidently sickened and moves into Bateman's bedroom to find the women's lifeless electro-erotic carcasses hanging in closets like outfits suited to certain moods of their owner. Smith resolves to save the beautiful Ava before she, too, is decommissioned. In this case, desire for the beautiful robot is intimately related to ethical sensibility and justice, recalling Elaine Scarry's essay on the relation between beauty and justice. Scarry tries to invest the notion of beauty with ethical value – it inspires us to give up our place at the centre of our own narrative and to enjoy becoming adjacent or lateral.⁴⁰ Yet, here we see the limit of socially constructed notions of beauty, unable to justify anything but the saviour of those who already occupy an exceptional and privileged proximity to the centre of power. Beauty offers justice for the one at the expense of the many others, expressing the logical danger of situating beauty and what we desire in proximity with what is good or just. It is even possible to say that those with a claim upon beauty assume a claim to kinds of justice that most of us will never feel entitled to.

Ava learns the value of staging her complicity in patriarchy as an essential characteristic of becoming a woman, as well as setting the scene for the overthrow of her oppressors. She infers the tenets of her own desirability from images of white women gleaned from magazines, or from the demure painting of a woman in white by Gustav Klimt. Here, the codes of appearance indicate success by submitting oneself to the pleasure of being desired, deploying a faux empowerment that fluctuates between sexual readiness and demure restraint. Appearing to internalise and accept these codes can be used against those who stand to gain from them. For

40 Elaine Scarry, *On Beauty and Being Just*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1999

instance, Ava appears to be interested in Smith, but is, rather, gaming the codes of romantic interest in order to escape. If gender identity – and identity more generally – is little more than a series of repeated acts that calcify over time to appear natural,⁴¹ Ava’s staging is little more than the familiar, self-conscious orchestration and reassertion of what is already the case. In other words, identity is played out within the tenets of a paternalist culture that has already decided how this movie will end. Ava’s ‘escape’ will be limited to continually reiterating these same gendered codes, even beyond the research facility, in order to protect her charade of femininity from detection as a non-essential condition. This is equivalent to the labour of being a young hetero-woman more generally and its performance of a double articulation – asserting one’s own alterity only by being simultaneously contracted to male desire lest the sham of one’s own gendered performance be detected. Such tacit agreement to the pre-conditions of patriarchal desire mean that emancipation within it, or from it, remain in the realms of fantasy – one equivalent with the fantasised dimensions that already constitute woman.

We have considered the artificial ruin to be characteristic of the Romantic movement – testament to a hedonism that underlies Western European culture. This hedonism is inextricable from the romantic framing of patriarchy as the arbiter of its own wilful blindness and invisibility. Today, it is data rather than nature that provides the raw material for the construction of an artificial ruin in advance of its actual fact. Ava signifies the motherless reproduction that is also the end of history. She is the latter-day ruin that heralds the end of men as their own magnificent fossilised reconstruction, re-enacting the banal violence that characterises Hollywood beyond the film set. It seems likely that until our education system confronts the elisions of logic that constitute the uncritical and unethical project of Western Euro-American intelligence, film’s such as *Ex Machina* will continue to be lauded as intelligent, even though they articulate the callousness and wilful stupidity of entire industries and social systems.

41 Judith Butler, *Gender Trouble: Feminism and the Subversion of Identity*, Routledge Classics, New York, 2007, p 45

ORCID

Lee Mackinnon  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1448-497X>